

# Treatment diary for botulinum toxin spasticity treatment: a pilot study

Bo Biering-Sørensen<sup>a</sup>, Helle K. Iversen<sup>b</sup>, Inge M.S. Frederiksen<sup>c</sup>, Jeanet R. Vilhelmsen<sup>a</sup> and Fin Biering-Sørensen<sup>d</sup>

The aim of this study is to develop a treatment diary for patients receiving spasticity treatment including botulinum toxin injection and physiotherapy and/or occupational therapy. The diary focuses on problems triggered by skeletal muscle overactivity; agreed goals for treatment and the patient's self-evaluation of achievement on the Goal Attainment Scale; which skeletal muscles were injected; physiotherapists' and occupational therapists' evaluation of the patients' achievement of objectives on the Goal Attainment Scale; and proposals for optimization of treatment and changing goals. The evaluation included a satisfaction questionnaire and the WHO-QoL BREF and WHO-5 well-being score. Overall, 10 patients were enrolled in the pilot study. The patients were generally satisfied with the diary, found that it involved them more in their treatment and made it easier to set personal goals, and found it worth the time spent using it. However, no clear advantage in

relation to their quality of life (WHO-QoL BREF and WHO-5 well-being score) was reported. International Journal of Rehabilitation Research 00:000-000 Copyright © 2017 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

International Journal of Rehabilitation Research 2017, 00:000-000

Keywords: botulinum toxins, delivery of health care, goals, muscle spasticity, rehabilitation, treatment diary

<sup>a</sup>Spasticity Clinic, <sup>b</sup>Stroke Unit, <sup>c</sup>Neurological Clinic and <sup>d</sup>Clinic for Spinal Cord Injuries, Neuroscience Centre, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Glostrup, Denmark

Correspondence to Bo Biering-Sørensen, MD, Spasticity Clinic, Neurological Clinic, Neuroscience Centre, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Nordre Ringvej 57, DK-2600 Glostrup, Denmark Tel: +45 386 33468; fax: +45 386 33926; e-mail: bo.biering-soerensen@regionh.dk

Received 18 November 2016 Accepted 24 January 2017

# Introduction

Botulinum toxin skeletal muscle injection treatment is long-lasting and monitored dependent on individual effects (Mancini et al., 2005; Bakheit et al., 2010). Problems such as pain, co-contraction, spastic dystonia, flexor spasms, etc. triggered by muscle overactivity/ spasticity often have a huge impact on the individual's quality of life (QoL) (Hefter et al., 2012; Milinis et al., 2016). Accurate and detailed reporting of these effects is therefore crucial (Bakheit et al., 2010). Often, several health care professionals are involved in the patient's treatment and important information is easily lost (Demetrios et al., 2013). A patient diary may increase the patient's awareness of problems triggered by muscle overactivity and may help the patient to evaluate the treatment better. This should result in more appropriate treatment goals for the individual patient and ultimately lead to higher QoL. In addition, the diary may lead to a closer collaboration between physiotherapists, occupational therapists, and the physician injecting the botulinum toxin, leading to common goals and final optimization of the treatment.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without

Patient diaries have been used in various neurological fields, including headache (Russell et al., 1992, 1994; Allena et al., 2012; Seidel et al., 2012; Stinson et al., 2013; Larsson and Fichtel, 2014; Barmettler et al., 2015; Heyer and Rose, 2015; Pasek et al., 2015), epilepsy (Le et al., 2011; Haut et al., 2013; Cobabe et al., 2015; Fisher et al., 2015), sleep, other pain, etc. (Le et al., 2011; Jacob et al., 2012). Several of these have been in the form of electronic diaries (Haut et al., 2013; Stinson et al., 2013; Barmettler et al., 2015; Pasek et al., 2015), including online (Fisher et al., 2015), web-based (Le et al., 2011) through the internet (Heyer and Rose, 2015), on-palm device (Allena et al., 2012), and smartphone (Jacob et al., 2012).

The prospective paper diary has been compared with a retrospective questionnaire for headache, and the results suggested that more valid and reliable results would be obtained using the prospective diary (Larsson and Fichtel, 2014).

The aim of this pilot study was primarily to develop a diary that constantly follows the patient in treatment with botulinum toxin skeletal muscle injections for spasticity.

# Methods and results Content of the treatment diary (Appendix)

(1) Problems triggered by skeletal muscle overactivity.

Table 1 Answers (yes/no) in numbers from the nine patients who filled in the satisfaction questionnaire related to the spasticity diary

	Yes	No
Is the diary easy to use?	6 (1)	1 (1)
Are you more involved in the treatment when using the diary?	6	1 (2)
Is it easier to set personal goals for treatment when you use the diary?	6	1 (2)
Is the cooperation about your treatment between the physiotherapist or occupational therapist and your doctor in the hospital improved when you use the diary?	5	2 (2)
Are all parties involved in your treatment better informed about you and your treatment when you use the diary?	6	1 (2)
Is the diary worth applying in relation to the time that you had to spend on it?	7	0 (2)
Allows use of the diary you a higher quality of life?	4	3 (2)

Two of the patients admitted not having used the diary or completed the diary, and have answered 'no' to nearly all questions; these are given in brackets.

- (2) Agreed goals for treatment and the patient's continuous self-evaluation of achievement of objectives on the Goal Attainment Scale (Turner-Stokes, 2009).
- (3) Which skeletal muscles were injected and the botulinum toxin dose administered.
- (4) Physiotherapists' and occupational therapists' evaluation of the patients' achievement of objectives on the Goal Attainment Scale as well as proposals for optimization of treatment and changing goals.

# **Development of the treatment diary**

- (1) A focus group with physicians, a physiotherapist, a nurse, and a representative from Allergan Pharmaceuticals discussed the primary elements to be included.
- (2) Inspiration was gained from meetings with therapists from rehabilitation centers.
- (3) The first version was developed.
- (4) This edition was presented to a focus group. Because of the feedback, some of the formulations were changed to make them more easily understandable.

# Pilot testing

A protocol for a Pilot study was submitted to the National Committee on Health Research Ethics and it was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency (GLO-2014-30, I-suite 02998).

The pilot study enrolled ten patients after their oral and written informed consent was obtained. The evaluation also included the use of the Danish translations of the WHO-QoL BREF (http://www.cure4you.dk/960/WHOQoL-Bref%20-%20dansk.pdf; http://depts.washington.edu/seagol/ docs/WHOQOL-BREF%20with%20scoring%20instructions\_ Updated%2001-10-14.pdf) and the WHO-5 well-being score (https://www.psykiatri-regionh.dk/who-5/Documents/ WHO5 Danish.pdf; Topp et al., 2015). These were to be completed before and after the use of the diary over an ~ 3-month period between two botulinum toxin injection cycles. The patient and the therapist were asked to fill in a questionnaire on the usefulness of the treatment diary and suggestions on how to make the diary better.

# Results of pilot testing

Ten patients completed the study, but one lost the spasticity diary.

In Table 1 the patients' responses to the Satisfaction questionnaire are shown. Among those who used the diary, 86% (6/7) found that they were more involved in their treatment and it was easier to set personal goals for treatment with this tool. In addition, all participants who used the diary found it worth the time spent using it.

Table 2 lists the scores to the answers to the WHO-QoL BREF and WHO-5 well-being.

Some patients and therapists reported that they had misunderstood how to complete the diary and needed more clear instructions.

### Further developments of the treatment diary

- (1) Feedback from the patients and therapists showed that the diary should be simplified and more clear instructions should be provided.
- (2) The new diary was then presented during three workshops with around 70 physicians, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, and nurses.
- (3) Translation of the diary from Danish into English included adjustments, making the diary more easily comprehensible and useable.

# **Translation**

The translation process included both language translation and cultural adaptation (Price et al., 2009). Therefore, the translation of the Treatment Diary from the original Danish version into English was not purely made word for word, but we aimed to include conceptual equivalence. It is the meaning of the content in the original Danish version of the diary that should be translated. The translation had to similarly be concise, clear, and simple, whereas terms, jargon, and abbreviations that are not easily understood were avoided.

The initial translation was made by F.B.S., and afterwards, the translation was checked by B.B.S. and H.K.I. The 'checkers' did not provide another translation, but had to report whether the translation of the diary was sufficient to convey the original concepts (Biering-Sørensen et al., 2011). Where there were disagreements, the three translators came to a consensus on which translations would be the most appropriate. Finally, the translation was scrutinized by a bilingual person (English/Danish) with knowledge of the field and minor adjustments were made.

Table 2 Average and range of answers to WHO-QoL-bref and WHO-5 well-being score questions from 10 patients before and after being treated with botulinum toxin. For one patient, no answers are available after the treatment

	Before average (range) (N=10)	After average (range) (N = 9)	<i>P</i> -value <sup>a</sup>
WHO-QoL-bref (all questions rated 1 to 5)			
How would you rate your quality of life?	3.7	3.6	0.77
How satisfied are you with your health?	3.1	3.2	0.77
To what extent do you feel that physical pain prevents you from doing what you need to do?	2.6	2.5	0.97
How much do you need medical treatment to function in your daily life?	1.7	2.0	0.46
How much do you enjoy life?	3.2	3.6	0.97
To what extent do you feel life to be meaningful?	3.5	3.4	0.90
How well are you able to concentrate?	3.9	4.1	0.62
How safe do you feel in your daily life?	3.8	3.7	0.74
How healthy is your physical environment?	2.3	2.7	0.44
Do you have enough energy for everyday life?	3.7	3.3	0.40
Are you able to accept your bodily appearance?	3.4	3.3	0.81
To what extent do you have enough money to meet your needs?	4.0	4.1	0.94
How available to you is the information that you need in your day-to-day life?	4.5	3.9	0.33
To what extent do you have the opportunity for leisure activities?	2.0	2.1	0.97
How well are you able to get around?	3.4	3.3	0.94
How satisfied are you with your sleep?	3.4	3.1	0.60
How satisfied are you with your ability to perform daily living activities?	3.5	3.2	0.60
How satisfied are you with your capacity for work?	3.6	3.6	0.97
How satisfied are you with yourself?	3.1	3.6	0.94
How satisfied are you with your personal relationships?	4.1	4.1	0.94
How satisfied are you with your sex life?	2.9	2.8	0.87
How satisfied are you with the support you get from your friends?	3.6	3.9	0.71
How satisfied are you with the conditions of your living place?	4.4	4.3	0.90
How satisfied are you with your access to health services?	4.0	3.8	0.71
How satisfied are you with your transport?	4.3	3.9	0.29
How often do you have negative feelings, such as blue mood, despair, anxiety, depression?	2.2	2.1	0.84
Overall Quality of Life and General Health – Questions 1,2b	6.8	6.8	1.0
Domain I (physical) Questions 3,4,10,15,16,17,18 <sup>b</sup>	25.0	24.3	0.78
Domain II (Psychological) Questions 5,6,7,11,19,26 <sup>b</sup>	22.0	23.0	0.68
Domain III (social relationships) Questions 20,21,22 <sup>b</sup>	10.5	10.6	0.97
Domain IV (environment) Questions 8,9,12,13,14,23,24,25 <sup>b</sup>	29.7	28.0	0.31
WHO-5 well-being score (all questions rated 5 to 0)			
Over the past 2 weeks			
I have felt cheerful and in good spirits	3.3	3.3	0.87
I have felt calm and relaxed	3.3	3.4	1.0
I have felt active and vigorous	2.4	2.2	0.87
I woke up feeling fresh and rested	2.8	2.3	0.57
My daily life has been filled with things that interest me	3.0	3.1	0.93
Final score <sup>c</sup>	58.0	57.3	0.87

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The tests are performed with the Mann-Whitney test using http://vassarstats.net/for direct import/entry of data for analyses. Two sided P-values are given.

### **Discussion**

Feedback from colleagues shows that physicians and therapists find inputs from patients and all health care professionals involved helpful and important for the treatment success. The fact that the therapist and the patient/caregiver together have the opportunity to choose other relevant treatment goals and communicate these to the physician using the treatment diary was highlighted as the botulinum toxin injections or other spasticity treatment can be adjusted according to these new goals.

If the patient cannot cooperate with goal setting or evaluation of the treatment, a caregiver or a relative taking care of the patient can help to set relevant goals and evaluate whether the goals are reached.

The reason having the treatment diary in paper was to make it easier for the patient to carry around and allowed the patient and the therapist to write their evaluations of the treatment and suggestions for optimization of the treatment. An electronic version might lead to obstacles when the patient and different health care professionals require access to the same e-diary. In the future, we hope that it will be possible to develop an e-version where data security challenges can be solved.

#### Conclusion

The presented treatment diary has the potential to increase the patient's awareness of problems triggered by skeletal muscle overactivity and may help the patient to evaluate the treatment better. It may also encourage the patient to be aware of new relevant goals, and will hopefully result in more appropriate treatment goals, increased patient empowerment, and ultimately, lead to higher QoL, although this was not reported in the pilot study. In addition, the diary may lead to a closer collaboration between physiotherapists, occupational therapists, and the physician

bhttp://depts.washington.edu/seaqol/docs/WHOQOL-BREF%20with%20scoring%20instructions\_Updated%2001-10-14.pdf.

cScoring principle: The sum of the 5 raw scores ranging from 0 to 25 is multiplied by 4 to yield the final score, with 0 representing the worst imaginable well-being to 100 representing the best imaginable well-being (Topp et al., 2015).

injecting the botulinum toxin, leading to common goals and optimization of the treatment.

A study evaluating the revised treatment diary is ongoing. The publication of this English version is because of requests from physicians, caregivers, patients, and therapists in Nordic Countries to enable quick use of the diary.

# **Acknowledgements**

The authors like to thank senior consultants Stephen W. Pedersen and Jesper Bøje Clausen for initial inspiration for this work. The patients and the therapists are thanked for their participation in the pilot project and their useful comments to improve the treatment diary.

Allergan A/S has provided unconditional grant supporting the production of the treatment diary.

# **Conflicts of interest**

Bo Biering-Sørensen has received honoraria for lectures from IPSEN, Allergan, Abbvie, UCB Pharma, and Berlin-Chemie AG, received honoraria for participating in Advisory Boards for IPSEN, Allergan, Merz, and Abbvie, and received an unconditional grant for this study from Allergan. Helle Klingenberg Iversen, Inge Mona Schack Frederiksen, and Jeanet Roger Vilhelmsen have received honoraria for lectures from IPSEN. For the remaining author there are no conflicts of interest.

# References

- Allena M, Cuzzoni MG, Tassorelli C, Nappi G, Antonaci F (2012). An electronic diary on a palm device for headache monitoring: a preliminary experience. *J Headache Pain* 13:537–541.
- Bakheit AM, Zakine B, Maisonobe P, Aymard C, Fhedoroff K, Hefter H, et al. (2010). The profile of patients and current practice of treatment of upper limb muscle spasticity with botulinum toxin type A: an international survey. Int J Rehabil Res 33:199-204.
- Barmettler G, Brawn J, Maleki N, Scrivani S, Burstein R, Becerra L, Borsook D (2015). A new electronic diary tool for mapping and tracking spatial and temporal head pain patterns in migraine. *Cephalalgia* **35**:417–425.
- Biering-Sørensen F, Alexander MS, Burns S, Charlifue S, Devivo M, Dietz V, et al. (2011). Recommendations for translation and reliability testing of international spinal cord injury data sets. Spinal Cord 49:357–360.
- Cobabe MM, Sessler DI, Nowacki AS, O'Rourke C, Andrews N, Foldvary-Schaefer N (2015). Impact of sleep duration on seizure frequency in adults with epilepsy: a sleep diary study. Epilepsy Behav 43:143–148.

- Demetrios M, Khan F, Turner-Stokes L, Brand C, McSweeney S (2013). Multidisciplinary rehabilitation following botulinum toxin and other focal intramuscular treatment for post-stroke spasticity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 5:CD009689.
- Fisher RS, Bartfeld E, Cramer JA (2015). Use of an online epilepsy diary to characterize repetitive seizures. *Epilepsy Behav* **47**:66–71.
- Haut SR, Hall CB, Borkowski T, Tennen H, Lipton RB (2013). Modeling seizure self-prediction: an e-diary study. Epilepsia 54:1960–1967.
- Hefter H, Jost WH, Reissig A, Zakine B, Bakheit AM, Wissel J (2012). Classification of posture in poststroke upper limb spasticity: a potential decision tool for botulinum toxin A treatment? *Int J Rehabil Res* **35**:227–233.
- Heyer GL, Rose SC (2015). Which factors affect daily compliance with an internet headache diary among youth with migraine? Clin J Pain 31:1075-1079.
- Jacob E, Stinson J, Duran J, Gupta A, Gerla M, Ann Lewis M, Zeltzer L (2012). Usability testing of a Smartphone for accessing a web-based e-diary for self-monitoring of pain and symptoms in sickle cell disease. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 34:326–335.
- Larsson B, Fichtel A (2014). Headache prevalence and characteristics among adolescents in the general population: a comparison between retrospect questionnaire and prospective paper diary data. J Headache Pain 15:80.
- Le S, Shafer PO, Bartfeld E, Fisher RS (2011). An online diary for tracking epilepsy. Epilepsy Behav 22:705–709.
- Mancini F, Sandrini G, Moglia A, Nappi G, Pacchetti C (2005). A randomised, double-blind, dose-ranging study to evaluate efficacy and safety of three doses of botulinum toxintype A (Botox) for the treatment of spastic foot. Neurol Sci 26:26–31.
- Milinis K, Tennant A, Young CA, TONiC study group (2016). Spasticity in multiple sclerosis: associations with impairments and overall quality of life. Mult Scler Relat Disord 5:34–39.
- Pasek TA, Locasto LW, Reichard J, Fazio Sumrok VC, Johnson EW, Kontos AP (2015). The headache electronic diary for children with concussion. Clin Nurse Spec 29:80–88.
- Price VE, Klaassen RJ, Bolton-Maggs PHB, Grainger JD, Curtis C, Wakefield C, et al. (2009). Measuring disease-specific quality of life in rare populations: a practical approach to cross-cultural translation. Health Qual Life Outcomes 7:92.
- Russell MB, Rasmussen BK, Brennum J, Iversen HK, Jensen RA, Olesen J (1992).

  Presentation of a new instrument: the diagnostic headache diary. *Cephalalgia*12:369–374
- Russell MB, Iversen HK, Olesen J (1994). Improved description of the migraine aura by a diagnostic aura diary. Cephalalgia 14:107–117.
- Seidel S, Frantal S, Oberhofer P, Bauer T, Scheibel N, Albert F, et al. (2012). Morning headaches in snorers and their bed partners: a prospective diary study. Cephalalgia 32:888-895.
- Stinson JN, Huguet A, McGrath P, Rosenbloom B, Soobiah C, White M, Coburn G (2013). A qualitative review of the psychometric properties and feasibility of electronic headache diaries for children and adults: where we are and where we need to go. *Pain Res Manag* 18:142–152.
- Topp CW, Østergaard SD, Søndergaard S, Bech P (2015). The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: a systematic review of the literature. Psychother Psychosom 84:167–176.
- Turner-Stokes L (2009). Goal attainment scaling (GAS) in rehabilitation: a practical guide. Clin Rehabil 23:362–370.

# **Appendix**

Treatment Diary
Start date: Year:
Participant ID:
First name:
Surname:
Patient ID number:
Contact, physician:
Contact, nursing staff:
Department /Clinic
Address
Telephone – and days/hour for contact

#### INTRODUCTION 3 PATIENT Your personal goals PHYSICIAN Notes regarding treatment 10 PHYSIO- AND OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST 12 NEXT APPOINTMENT 16

2

#### Introduction

#### Dear Patient,

As part of your rehabilitation you receive treatment with botulinum toxin. Please read the patient information provided by your physician regarding botulinum toxin.

This treatment diary is a tool to help you, your care providers and rehabilitation network (doctors, physiotherapists, occupational therapists, etc.) to improve the management of your rehabilitation.

The purpose of the treatment diary is also to assess the effect of your treatment and improve outcomes.

The treatment is given as injections in selected muscles. For maximum rehabilitation you should regularly, once a week on the same weekday, evaluate the treatment compared to specific goals that you, together with your care provider, agree are important to focus on.

For this purpose, please fill in the tables on pages 4-7.

The answers, notes and comments in the treatment diary can help you and your care provider assess whether the treatment is living up to expectations and if you are reaching the goals that you, together with your care provider, jointly set before starting treatment.

Sincerely Clinic / Department

# Your personal goals

- 1. Set yourself 1-2 personal teeth without assistance. wash under arms, walk 100 meters with a cane or equivalent. NB. The goals must be
- evaluate how you develop compared to the goal. Always compare with the starting point that corresponds to the "Slightly worse than goal" in the table below. Indicated with a cross at "Week 0".
- 3. Mark with a cross how you are compared to Week 0 (starting point), and note the date.

NOTE: Write also the goals on pages 12-13, for your therapist to know them

## Goal 1

**PATIENT** 

Describe goal 1: Starting point:

	Week 0	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3
Date				
Much better than goal				
A little better than goal				
Goal achieved				
Slightly worse than goal	Х			
Much worse than goal				

	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7
Date				
Much better than goal				
A little better than goal				
Goal achieved				
Slightly worse than goal				
Much worse than goal				

	Week 8	Week 9	Week 10	Week 11
Date				
Much better than goal				
A little better than goal				
Goal achieved				
Slightly worse than goal				
Much worse than goal				

	Week 12	Week 13	Week 14	Week 15
Date				
Much better than goal				
A little better than goal				
Goal achieved				
Slightly worse than goal				
Much worse than goal				

	Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	Week 19
Date				
Much better than goal				
A little better than goal				
Goal achieved				
Slightly worse than goal				
Much worse than goal				

Comments.		

5

Your personal goals

Goal 2  Describe goal 2	2:										
Starting point:											
Starting point.											
	W	/eek 0	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	]		Week 12	Week 13	Week 14	Week 1
Date							Date				
Much better than							fluch better than goal				
A little better tha	ın goal						little better than goal				
Goal achieved							Goal achieved				
Slightly worse th		Х					Slightly worse than goal				
Much worse tha	n goal					] [N	fluch worse than goal				
	W	/eek 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	]		Week 16	Week 17	Week 18	Week 1
Date							ate				
Much better that							Much better than goal				
A little better that	ın goal						little better than goal				
Goal achieved							Goal achieved				
Slightly worse th							Slightly worse than goal				
Much worse tha	n goai					] IN	Much worse than goal				
	W	/eek 8	Week 9	Week 10	Week 11						
Date						C	Comments:				
Much better than						_					
A little better tha	ın goal				$\vdash$	_					
Goal achieved						_					
Slightly worse the Much worse that											
wuch worse tha	ii goai					J					
	6								7		
Have you h		le effe	cts?			C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you h		le effe	cts?			C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a	ad any sid			your treatn	nent?	C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
	ad any sid			your treatn	nent?	C - -	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a No Yes I	ad any sid any side effect	ts assoc	ciated with			C	other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a No Yes I	ad any sid	ts assoc	ciated with			C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a No Yes I	ad any sid any side effect	ts assoc	ciated with			C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a No Yes I	ad any sid any side effect	ts assoc	ciated with			C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a No Yes [	ad any sid any side effect	ts assoc	ciated with			C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a No Yes [	and any side effect  ss, please desinave had:	cribe w	ciated with	side effect:	s	C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a  No Yes   If ye  you  If ye  trea	any side effect	cribe w	ciated with	side effect:	s	C	Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a  No Yes [  If ye you  If ye treather it is a second to be a second	any side effect any side effect ses, please deschave had:	cribe w	ciated with	side effect:	s		Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a  No Yes [  If ye you  If ye treathers in the second in th	any side effect any side effect ses, please deschave had:	cribe w	ciated with	side effect:	s		Other notes concer	ning the		nt	
Have you had a No Yes I If ye you	any side effect any side effect ses, please deschave had:	cribe w	ciated with	side effect:	s		Other notes concer	ning the		nt	

Treatment synopsis (to be filled in by the physic	an)	Spasticity treatment w in the following muscle			
Date/year of examination:	Injection guidance tecnic:	Injection sites			
,	Ultrasound  EMG  Stimulation	Side	Muscl	e	No. BT
Diagnosis:		R L			units
		R L			
		R L			
		R L			
Possible comments to the	diagnosis:	R L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
Botulinum toxin (BTX) inje	cted by (physician name):	R L L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
Botulinum toxin trade nam	e:	R L L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
		R L L			
		Glossary of abbreviation	ons in muscle over	view on the bac	k cover.
	10			11	
Rehabilitation to be filled in by the therapi fibe patient has set 1-2 pers goals for his/ her treatment. Between the two botulinum oxin treatments (approxima 3 months) you are asked to evaluate how the patient progresses compared for re Evaluation of the patient's g Goal 1	with a cross at "Week 0" for "Slightly worse than goal" in the tables below). You are asked to evaluate and mark with a cross for the start phase, mid-phase, and lative) final phase respectively.	Goal 2			
to be filled in by the therapi The patient has set 1-2 pers goals for his/ her treatment. Setween the two botulinum oxin treatments (approxima 8 a months) you are asked to evaluate how the patient progresses compared (or re Evaluation of the patient's g Goal 1  Description goal 1:	with a cross at "Week 0" for "Slightly worse than goal" in the tables below). You are asked to evaluate and mark with a cross for the start phase, mid-phase, and lative) final phase respectively.	Description goal 2:			
to be filled in by the therapi The patient has set 1-2 pers goals for his/ her treatment. Between the two botulinum oxin treatments (approxima 3 months) you are asked to evaluate how the patient orogresses compared (or re Evaluation of the patient's g Goal 1	with a cross at "Week 0" for "Slightly worse than goal" in the tables below). You are asked to evaluate and mark with a cross for the start phase, mid-phase, and lative) final phase respectively.				
to be filled in by the therapi The patient has set 1-2 pers goals for his/ her treatment. Setween the two botulinum oxin treatments (approxima 8 B months) you are asked to evaluate how the patient progresses compared (or re Evaluation of the patient's g Goal 1 Description goal 1: Starting point:	with a cross at "Week 0" for "Slightly worse than goal" in the tables below). You are asked to evaluate and mark with a cross for the start phase, mid-phase, and lative) final phase respectively.	Description goal 2:	k 0 Start phase Date		Final phase
o be filled in by the therapi he patient has set 1-2 pers oals for his/ her treatment. letween the two botulinum win treatments (approxima months) you are asked to valuate how the patient rogresses compared (or re valuation of the patient's g local 1 Description goal 1: Starting point:	to the starting point (indicated with a cross at "Week 0" for "Slightly worse than goal" in the tables below). You are asked to evaluate and mark with a cross for the start phase, mid-phase, and lative) final phase respectively.	Description goal 2: Starting point:			

tarting point.						Ottarting points				
	Week 0	Start phase Date	Mid phase Date	Final phase Date			Week 0	Start phase Date	Mid phase Date	Fina Dat
uch better an goal						Much better than goal				
ittle better an goal						A little better than goal				
oal achieved						Goal achieved				
ghtly worse an goal	х					Slightly worse than goal	х			
uch worse an goal						Much worse than goal				
mments:					,	Comments:				

12

Rehabilitation  How often does the patient receive therapist administered exercise?  Daily  3-4 times per week  1-2 times per week  How often does the patient exercise him-/herself  Daily  3-4 times per week  Use guided self-	How/where is the rehabilitation performed?  At hospital  At municipal institution  Outpatient, individual rehabilitation, through their general practitioner  Outpatient, team training, through their general practitioner	Evaluation of the treatment    Physiotherapist	PHYSIO- / OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST
	14	Yes No If yes, which?	RAPIST

Next appointment  Your next appointment in the clinic:					
Date:		Time:			
Muscle	overview				
	Upper extremity		Lower extremity		
ADP APL BA BI BR ECU ED EPB EPL FCR FDP FDS FPB INT LUM Pec PT	Adductor pollicis Abductor pollicis longus Brachialis Biceps Brachii Brachioradialis Extensor carpi radialis Extensor carpi ulnaris Extensor digitorum Extensor pollicis brevis Extensor pollicis longus Flexor carpi radialis Flexor carpi radialis Flexor carpi ulnaris Flexor digitorum profundus Flexor digitorum superficialis Flexor pollicis brevis Flexor pollicis longus Interossus Lumbricalis Pectoralis major Pronator teres	AB AL AM BF EDL EHL FDL FHL GA-L GA-N Gmax GR IP RF SM SO ST TA TP VI VL VM	Adductor brevis Adductor Longus Adductor magnus Biceps femoris Extensor digitorum longus Extensor hallucis longus Flexor digitorum longus Flexor hallucis longus Gastrocnemius lateral Gastrocnemius medial Gluteus maximus Gracillis Iliopsoas Rectus femoris Semimembranosus Soleus Semitendinosus Tibialis anterior Tibialis posterior Vastus intermedius Vastus medialis		
Notes					

Thanks for your participation

Department /Clinic:

Address:

Telephone – and days/hour for contact: